

Form 2: Template for Brief Review of a Condition Nominated for Addition to the Screening Panel

Note: this form is an internal (not public) document. It should be used to guide the subcommittee's discussion regarding a condition that has been nominated (using Form 1) for addition to the newborn/child screening panel.

Questions	Responses, including references where relevant
<i>A. THE CONDITION</i>	
(1) What is the condition name?	
(2) What is the estimated prevalence of the condition in the target population?	
(3) What are the characteristic clinical manifestations of the condition, including spectrum of severity (mortality, morbidity, disability)?	
(4) In the absence of screening, at what age do symptoms typically develop?	
<i>B. THE TEST</i>	
(5) Is there a validated screening test? If so, what is the modality of the test (e.g., analysis of dried blood spots, bedside pulse oximetry, questionnaire-based assay)? What analytes or parameters are evaluated?	
(6) What is the target population for the test (e.g., newborns in Ontario)?	
<i>C. THE TREATMENT</i>	
(7) Are there established intervention/s for individuals diagnosed with the condition? What are these (in brief)? Are they available in Ontario?	
(8) Briefly, is there known to be evidence that supports the effectiveness of the intervention/s (clinical benefits to affected individuals)?	
<i>D. EXPERIENCE IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS</i>	
(9) Is screening for this condition among newborns/children in place in any other jurisdictions (to your knowledge)? If so, are there published or unpublished evaluations of screening (please include citations)?	

<i>E. STAKEHOLDER SUPPORT</i>	
(10) What are the relevant stakeholder groups, particularly patient/family support groups and specialist physician groups? What information do we have about support for this addition to the panel from these groups?	

References:

What is the sub-committee's recommendation at this stage?

- This nomination should proceed to full review
- This nomination should be declined
- More information is needed

Please briefly state the main rationale for this recommendation:

If the committee has recommended a full review, what is the recommended process (e.g., suggest forming a review board/task force with the following members..., request a presentation by nominating group)?

If the committee recommends that more information is needed, what information is needed and who should be responsible for providing it (this should generally be the nominating group; if the nomination came from an individual, the committee may suggest who to involve in the process of pulling together additional information)?